

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/748,386	12/29/2003	Sang Yub Han	10125/4135	10125/4135 8162	
75	90 01/17/2006		EXAMINER		
Brinks Hofer Gilson & Lione			SCHECHTER, ANDREW M		
Post Office Box Chicago, IL 6			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2871		
			DATE MAILED: 01/17/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/748,386	HAN, SANG YUB		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Andrew Schechter	2871		

	LAMINITE	AROIII	
	Andrew Schechter	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 January 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A  1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is</li> </ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing days.	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offite of the final rejection, of	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection (s) filed after a filed</li></ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO	will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re-		the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amandment	(DTOL 224)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpilant Amendment	(P10L-324).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)   how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-12.	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.	l be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a ).
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but</li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	o(s)	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment to claim 1 would necessitate further search and/or consideration to see if claim 1 or the dependent claims contain patentable subject matter.

Alm Schechter **Primary Examiner** 

Technology Center 2800 12 January 2006